

Barely Sufficient Software Engineering: 10 Practices to Improve Your Research CSE Software

Michael A. Heroux
James M. Willenbring
Sandia National Laboratories

•Special Thanks:

- LDRD
- NNSA ASC

SAND#: 2009-0579 C



Sandia is a multiprogram laboratory operated by Sandia Corporation, a Lockheed Martin Company, for the United States Department of Energy under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000.



Target Audience:

Research CSE Software

- **Typically developed using research funding.**
- **Formal software engineering seldom a primary goal.**
- **Research CSE software developers:**
 - ◆ **Often lack the training, resources or time to adopt advanced formal methods and practices**
 - ◆ **Have a skeptical view of formal software engineering practices.**
- **Our theme: Better SE → Better Research CSE**
 - ◆ **Select only those practices that we are confident can pay off.**
 - ◆ **Introduce them gradually.**

The 10 Practices

- **Identified from Trilinos project.**
- **Focus: Practices that most research CSE software teams can adopt and benefit from.**
- **Similar to Agile processes.**
- **Additional practices are valuable, but:**
 - ◆ **Heavy emphasis on SE can be a distraction.**
 - ◆ **Practices must be introduced gradually.**

Research vs. commercial software

- **Commercial software**
 - **Primary purpose: generating revenue**
 - **Domains: Underlying algorithms and methodologies are mature**
 - **Increasingly sophisticated and complex, yet more easily developed and more reliable.**
 - **Reason: software engineering is more mature.**
- **Research software**
 - **Primary purpose: Generating science results.**
 - **New algorithms and modeling capabilities.**
 - **Software developed as proof-of-concept and to generate first-of-a-kind results**
 - **Highly trained scientists, not professional software engineers.**
 - **Scientists can produce high quality software:**
 - **Use common sense principles and self-discipline.**
 - **But ad hoc manner makes it difficult to leverage a product outside its narrowly intended scope.**

Practice 0: Manage source (the basics)

- **The vast majority of CSE software projects use source management**
- **But not all.**
- **Single most important practice:**
 - **Source files are kept in a repository.**
 - **Developers regularly commit changes.**
 - **Repository is *the* source for source code.**

Practice 1: Use issue-tracking software for requirements, features and bugs

- **Issue-tracking software:**
 - ◆ logical collection point for information concerning bugs, features, and requirements.
- **Why:**
 - Issues visible to the whole team
 - Ability to prioritize issues
 - Ability to establish dependencies between issues:
 - Break larger issues down into pieces.
 - See how different issues affect one another
 - History of issues searchable.

Practice 2: Manage source (beyond the basics)

- **Branching**
 - ◆ Independent line of development (not agile).
 - ◆ Stabilize a release branch (not agile).
 - ◆ Still can merge from one branch to another (challenging).
- **Tagging**
 - ◆ Snapshot of the current state of the repository.
 - ◆ Create a bit-wise identifiable release.
 - ◆ Eliminates ambiguity.
- **Source browsing and viewing tools**
 - ◆ ViewVC - can be used with SVN or CVS
 - ◆ Bonsai - compatible only with CVS
 - Search.
 - Browse.
 - Compare.

Practice 3: Use mail lists to communicate

- **Abstraction of interested people.**
- **Centralized mail list tool prevents the lists from getting stale.**
- **Useful for archival purposes and spam filtering.**
- **Examples lists:**
 - **Users**
 - **Developers**
 - **Leaders**
 - **Regression**
 - **Check-in**
 - **Announce**
- **Wikis may be used in addition to mail lists, advantages:**
 - **hypertext browsing**
 - **real-time editing**
 - **collaborative development of content.**

Practice 4: Use checklists for repeated processes

- **Checklists are valuable tools**
 - making easily repeatable processes
 - reduces the chance that steps are omitted
 - training purposes
 - artifacts

- **The Trilinos project uses several different checklists**
 - several release checklists
 - a new developer checklist
 - a CVS commit checklist
- **Goal: Automating checklist steps is even better.**

About “Barely sufficient”

- **A minimalist attitude to formal processes:**
 - ◆ Adopt only those that have a large impact.
- **Mindless Imposition of Formal SE bad for CSE community:**
 - ◆ Large-scale formal document generation as “first step”.
 - ◆ Large effort to satisfy an external requirement, does not benefit the project team.
 - ◆ Documents become out-of-date quickly and therefore are irrelevant or even misleading.
- **Formal documents:**
 - ◆ Certainly play a role in a project:
 - Domain vision statement, e.g., Trilinos Strategic Goals.
 - Highlighted core, ACM TOMS article *An Overview of the Trilinos Project*.
 - ◆ Modest, should be developed after the product architecture is stable.
 - ◆ Are essential when a product is ready for hand-off to maintenance team.

Practice 5: Create barely sufficient, source-centric documentation

- **Create a combination of near-to-the-source and in-source documentation can be very effective.**
- **In source:**
 - ♦ User-callable functions and executables should be documented in the source files, e.g. using Doxygen.
 - ♦ Processing source files then generates documentation.
- **Higher-level conceptual documentation:**
 - ♦ Custom-developed, but still tightly coupled to examples in software repository.
 - ♦ Examples should be extracted from actual working examples in the repository.
- **Requirements, analysis and design documentation:**
 - ♦ Captured by appropriate tools such as Bugzilla (for requirements) and UML graphics tools (e.g., Microsoft Visio).
 - ♦ Doxygen for design discussions: produces UML diagrams directly from source code.
- **Documentation efforts should not:**
 - ♦ Be long, hand-written, text documents until ...
 - ♦ a project reaches a level of maturity where there is little change in software design and implementation.

Practice 6: Use build-configuration management tools

- **Build-configuration management tools:**
 - ◆ Makes software accessible to a much broader audience.
 - ◆ Make software support much less expensive.
 - ◆ Superior to hand-written makefiles (common for CSE software).
- **Preferred approaches:**
 - ◆ CMake-based[11] build system.
 - ◆ Linux RPM or Windows.
- **About Cmake:**
 - ◆ Very portable, supplies rich set of build targets.
 - ◆ Trivial to use for simple projects.
 - ◆ Complex codes:
 - Configuration management tools challenging to adopt.
 - Provide tremendous value in long run.

Practice 7: Write tests first, run them often

- **Common view: Testing done late in coding process.**
- **TDD : Write tests first.**

- **TDD benefits:**
 - ♦ **Test programs debug design.**
 - ♦ **Measure of progress: 100% test failure to 100% test success.**
- **Full suite of tests provides:**
 - ♦ **Confidence to revise after the initial implementation**
 - ♦ **Improves long-term quality of product as it matures.**

- **Adopting TDD as a habit:**
 - ♦ **A cultural challenge,; writing tests delays the initial development.**
 - ♦ **But provides tremendous value: Greatly reduces development costs, improves long-term software quality.**

Practice 8: Program tough stuff together

- **Pair programming:**
 - ◆ **Concept formalized by XP.**
 - ◆ **Not natural for CSE developers.**
 - ◆ **More used to sitting alone carefully writing source.**
- **Selective use:**
 - ◆ **Don't advocate pair programming for all development.**
 - ◆ **Development of complex software functions.**
- **Especially:**
 - ◆ **Incorporating the use of another developer's software.**
 - ◆ **Produces superior software.**
 - ◆ **Provides important feedback.**

Practice 9: Use a formal release process

- **When a project is just getting started:**
 - ◆ Run some reasonable set of tests on a defined set of platforms
 - ◆ Tag the new version when all of those tests pass.
- **For larger software projects:**
 - ◆ Formal release process is essential.
 - ◆ For reaching a stable point at which a release can occur, but also for managing the process in a controlled way so that when all necessary processes have been completed, a release can be completed with greater confidence.
- **Continual Process Improvement (See Practice 10):**
 - ◆ Trilinos and its user base have grown dramatically.
 - ◆ Release process for a major release has gone from an informal series of tests on a release branch to a much larger, coordinated effort.
 - ◆ Multiple key users to certify their test suite against the release candidate.
 - ◆ After each release, the processes are reviewed for ways to improve the next release.
- **Minor releases:**
 - ◆ Entire major release process no justified. the cost.
 - ◆ Subset of the major release process is used.
 - ◆ Periodically evaluated for effectiveness.

Practice 10: Perform continual process improvement

- **Improving software processes is an on-going effort.**
- **Any software process, no matter how poorly defined, can be written down and improved upon, and any process, no matter how mature, can be made better.**

- **Example: Training a new developer.**
- **Until a draft process is recorded, user training will be haphazard.**
- **Standardize the training with a checklist.**
- **Refine checklist using process improvement.**

- **Checklist usage:**
 - ♦ **Each use: consider whether or not modifications are necessary.**
 - ♦ **Poll checklist users to combine all of the best ideas into one standard list.**
 - ♦ **Include items on process checklists that reflect future goals**

Conclusions

- **Research CSE software can benefit from modern software engineering practices and processes.**
- **However:**
 - ♦ **The goal of research CSE software is often research and development.**
 - ♦ **The software product is just one output.**
 - ♦ **Too much emphasis on software processes can put a project at risk.**
- **The 10 practices: Not a large effort for most research CSE software teams.**
- **Once adopted should:**
 - ♦ **Provide a qualitative improvement in the overall software development process, producing better quality software with less effort.**
 - ♦ **Give research CSE project teams more time for science and engineering research and development.**

References

- [1] M. A. Heroux, “Trilinos Home Page”, <http://trilinos.sandia.gov>, 2009.
- [2] “Agile Software Development Home Page”, <http://www.agile-software-development.com>, 2009.
- [3] Tigris.org, “ Subversion Home Page”, <http://subversion.tigris.org>, 2009.
- [4] “Concurrent Versions System Home Page”, <http://www.nongnu.org/cvs>, 2009.
- [5] Scott Chacon, “Git – Fast Version Control System Home Page” <http://git-scm.com>, 2009.
- [6] Tigris.org, “ViewVC Home Page”, <http://www.viewvc.org>, 2009.
- [7] Mozilla, “ Bonsai Project Home Page”, <http://www.mozilla.org/projects/bonsai>, 2009.
- [8] GNU, “Mailman, the GNU Mailing List Manager Home Page”, <http://www.gnu.org/software/mailman>, 2009.
- [9] Mozilla, “Home::Bugzilla::bugzilla.org Home Page”, <http://www.bugzilla.org>, 2009.
- [10] Dimitri van Heesch, “Doxygen Home Page”, <http://www.stack.nl/~dimitri/doxygen>, 2009.
- [11] Kitware, “Cmake - Cross Platform Make Home Page”, <http://www.cmake.org>, 2009.
- [12] K. Beck, *Test Driven Development: By Example*, Addison-Wesley, Boston, 2003.
- [13] K. Beck, *Extreme Programming Explained*, Addison-Wesley, Boston, 2005.